

Justin,

Not sure what you have to be share "cheers" about at the end of your letter.

Unless you're interested in spending some cheery time with the folks still crammed into local petri dish shelters in violation of CDC recommendations. You appear to have no objection to this situation and are making no attempt to change it--in spite of the massive appearance of COVID-19 in S.F. shelters.

What homeless people are being designated as "vulnerable"? How much of the S.C. homeless population does that include? How many are currently housed safely instead of being exposed in the "shelters"? I refer you again to the questions in the earlier letter.

The clearing of these S.F. shelters before the virus spreads is what has been mandated or accomplished in far greater percentage in San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Ventura Counties--to name three.

See <https://www.sfchronicle.com/politics/article/SF-supervisors-tire-of-bowing-to-mayor-on-15203808.php> .

The documents you forwarded to us reiterate the wording of the CDC guidelines, but then insist that the motel option need not be offered to all homeless people. Just how many are offered the rooms? The small number of rooms available cannot possibly match the number of vulnerable homeless people. So your answer is either misleading or indifferent to the broader need.

Other than saying no one has tested positive for COVID-19 (out of how many, if any, tested?) the reports have no statistics on the health conditions of folks currently in the petri dish shelters, their specific vulnerability, and what they want.

Please address yourself specifically to the questions you've ignored. Do not leave us wondering if the real health stats are being ignored or hidden. Hiding behind County officialdom will not excuse administrative irresponsibility in this crisis.

Safely yours indoors (until the virus spreads from the shelters),

Robert