ALEXIS WILSON BRIGGS, SBN #251688

506 Broadway

San Francisco, CA 94133

Telephone: 415-986-5591 alexis@pier5law.com

Attorney for Defendant CAMERON LAURENDEAU

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. F22698
Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT LAURENDEAU'S REPLY TO PEOPLE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION
CAMERON LAURENDEAU,	TO SET ASIDE INFORMATION (Cal. Pen. Code §995)
Defendant.	Date: March 11, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: 3

Defense's response on these issues will be brief, in light of the equally brief statements in the government's filing relating to Mr. Laurendeau. In sum, the government asserts that the court's order holding Mr. Laurendeau to answer relied upon reasonable inferences, based upon some evidence to support the elements of the alleged crimes. However, defense counsel has alleged that the court's concluding remarks indicated a misunderstanding of the evidence before him, not merely an inference based upon circumstantial evidence. Moreover, the government therefore concedes that there was no direct evidence presented that Mr. Laurendeau heard or saw the required notice and failed to leave the premises. In fact, the evidence does not support a finding that Mr. Laurendeau actually heard or saw the notice required by Penal Code section 602(o).

The photograph mentioned by the government consists of a corner of a building, which is unidentified, with a bright blue sky in the background. The photograph was never authenticated as to date and time, per Officer Hedley. (RT2 57:2-26 – 58:1-26.) Regardless, it is clear that wherever and whenever the photograph was taken, it was still daylight outside. Therefore, if it was indeed taken on November 30, it does not provide any evidence that Mr. Laurendeau was

still present when law enforcement made a verbal announcement later that night. Indeed, per defense counsel's moving paper on this motion, Mr. Laurendeau was clocked into work prior to that announcement.

No evidence was presented that showed that any of the written notices were still present on December 2, 2012, when Mr. Laurendeau is alleged to have returned to the building. Indeed, the video evidence makes plain that Mr. Laurendeau's presence preceded the posting that day, confirmed there were no remaining flyers on any of the doors used to enter or exit the building, and that as soon as he observed the posting he exited the building and did not re-enter. Defense submitted evidence, not considered by the court before issuing its order, that Mr. Laurendeau began his shift at work within 15 minutes of that video.

The law for Penal Code section 602(o) requires more than circumstantial indications that the defendant "was not welcome." Under a prior formulation of 602(o), it was sufficient to prove that "the surrounding circumstances are such as to indicate to a reasonable man that such person has no apparent lawful business to pursue; is guilty of a misdemeanor." Cal Pen. Code §602 (1983 Amendment); see also In re Bacon (Cal. App. 1st Dist., 1955) 240 Cal. App. 2d 34, 48. This is not the current law, however, which is purposefully specific regarding the content of the notice. If it was merely required that it be clear that the defendant was "not welcome," then the old formulation would be sufficient. Clearly, congress amended the subsection for the purpose of requiring more particularized notice.

As to the felony vandalism allegations, the government apparently concedes in its filings that if there is not probable cause to hold Mr. Laurendeau to answer on the target offense, i.e. trespass, then he cannot be held liable as an aider and abetter of felony vandalism under a natural and probable consequence theory. Defense does not disagree. However, even if Mr. Laurendeau's misdemeanor trespass charge were not set aside, defense counsel joins in codefendants' counsels' arguments regarding the lack of proof that vandalism is the natural and foreseeable consequence of temporary trespass under this statute, that Mr. Laurendeau knew the vandals's criminal intent, that his trespass aided that offense, or that there is any evidence before the court relating to the state of the building prior to the alleged trespass such that these defendants may be held to answer for those alleged offenses.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, defendant requests an order setting aside the information and dismissing the remaining charges against Mr. Laurendeau.

Dated: March 5, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

ALEXIS WILSON BRIGGS Attorney for Defendant CAMERON LAURENDEAU

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL

I, ALEXIS WILSON BRIGGS, declare:

I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California. I am the attorney of record for defendant CAMERON LAURENDEAU in this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed on March 5, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

ALEXIS WILSON BRIGGS
Attorney for Defendant

CAMERON LAURENDEAU

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned declares:

I am a citizen of the United States. My business address is 506 Broadway, San Francisco, California 94133. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action.

On the date set forth below, I caused a true copy of the

within:

DEFENDANT LAURENDEAU'S REPLY TO PEOPLE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE

INFORMATION (Cal. Pen. Code \$995)

to be served on the following parties in the following manner:

Mail ____ Fax _X Personal Service ___ Overnight Courier_

Rebekah Webb Young Santa Cruz District Attorney 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060 831-454-2227

to be served on the following parties in the following manner:

e-Mail <u>x</u> Fax ____ Personal Service ____ Overnight Courier___

Lisa McCamey Attorney at Law lisa.mccamey@sbcglobal.net

Bryan Hackett Attorney at Law bhackettesq@gmail.com

Jesse Ruben Attorney at Law jruben@psdlaw.com

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed on March 5, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

ALEXIS WILSON BRIGGS